Message

From: waltermugdan@aol.com [waltermugdan@aol.com]

Sent: 12/12/2010 12:56:56 AM

To: Sussman.Bob@epamail.epa.gov; Feldt.Lisa@epamail.epa.gov; McCabe.Catherine@epamail.epa.gov;

Southerland.Elizabeth@epamail.epa.gov; Woolford.James@epamail.epa.gov; Schaaf.Eric@epamail.epa.gov;

Simon.Paul@epamail.epa.gov; Garbarini.Doug@epamail.epa.gov; enck@aol.com; Pavlou.George@epamail.epa.gov

Subject: Re: Update

Bob,

As previously mentioned, I will be speaking with GE at 9 am tomorrow. John Haggard told me this evening that GE will be in a position to share reactions to the 10/4 vs. 11/3 question I posed earlier. Other issues are also planned for this call, so I don't know whether I'll be able to give you some feedback before your 9:30 call, but will try.

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

----Original Message-----

From: Sussman.Bob < Sussman.Bob@epamail.epa.gov>

To: waltermugdan <waltermugdan@aol.com>; Feldt.Lisa <Feldt.Lisa@epamail.epa.gov>; McCabe.Catherine <McCabe.Catherine@epamail.epa.gov>; Southerland.Elizabeth <Southerland.Elizabeth@epamail.epa.gov>; Woolford.James <Woolford.James@epamail.epa.gov>; Schaaf.Eric <Schaaf.Eric@epamail.epa.gov>; Simon.Paul <Simon.Paul@epamail.epa.gov>; Garbarini.Doug <Garbarini.Doug@epamail.epa.gov>; enck <enck@aol.com>; Pavlou.George <Pavlou.George@epamail.epa.gov>

Sent: Sat, Dec 11, 2010 5:49 pm

Subject: Re: Update

I just heard from Brackett. Because I was tied up, I arranged to talk to him at 9:30 am. Let me know if you have any thoughts on what to say. I plan to pose the question to him that Walter posed to Ann.

From: waltermugdan

Sent: 12/11/2010 02:06 PM EST

To: Bob Sussman; Lisa Feldt; Catherine McCabe; Elizabeth Southerland; James Woolford; Eric Schaaf; Paul Simon;

Doug Garbarini; enck@aol.com; George Pavlou

Subject: Update

I just got finished speaking with Ann Klee and John Haggard. I shared with them our current views on how to look at, or analyze, the Capping Metric of Success. They agreed that the chart, as we have laid it out (updated draft attached for those who have not yet received the new version) was a useful way to display the data. They may disagree with some of the specific numbers in the chart, but the differences that such quibbles would make are likely to be relatively minor.

I also floated the question: Which would you dislike less -- 10/4 or 11/3? (I presented it a bit more delicately.) I have a conference call scheduled with GE for 9 am tomorrow, and expect to get some feedback then.